Historical Methods for Social Studies

COURSE GUIDE*

HIS 430/WI/RI                        Fall 2011

Prof. L. Tolbert                      Office: MHRA 2109
Email: lctolber@uncg.edu             Hours: by appointment gladly given

*Note that this syllabus is subject to change at the discretion of the instructor. Any necessary changes in the syllabus or course schedule will prioritize effectiveness for student learning.

Course Overview and Learning Outcomes

The teacher licensure standards for content knowledge in social studies mandated by the state of North Carolina** require that teacher candidates must demonstrate depth of content knowledge in “the process of critical inquiry in history and the social sciences used to examine change over time and develop historical perspectives,” including:

- identifying and framing a problem
- using a variety of sources
- using primary and secondary resources
- evaluating the credibility of sources
- putting sources into historical context
- investigating, interpreting, and analyzing multiple viewpoints
- clearly and effectively articulating conclusions

HIS 430 is an introduction to historical thinking and the research process designed to address these historical methods content standards for all social studies licensure candidates. This is not a course about teaching history but it is directly relevant to developing skills necessary to be an effective history teacher. This is a course about doing history. We will use a variety of research resources including manuscripts, reference sources, monographs, scholarly journals, the internet, and repositories such as the Library of Congress. A final case study project at the end of the semester will offer the opportunity to synthesize your research skills using materials in the UNCG University Archives. The ultimate goal of the course is to understand the creative process of research within the discipline of history.

[Letter to Joseph Hooker from Lincoln, January 26, 1863 Library of Congress, Rare Book and Special Collections Division, Alfred Whital Stern Collection of Lincolniana.]
STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES:
Upon successful completion of this course students will be able to demonstrate the following knowledge, skills, and habits of mind:

1. Inquiry and Creativity: Think creatively about different kinds of research questions inspired by primary source documents.
2. Courage and Historical Thinking: Ask interesting questions whose answers you don’t know and recognize the kinds of questions that can be addressed by historical research.
3. Analysis: Contextualize primary source documents in different ways; interpret different types of primary sources.
4. Research: Identify and evaluate appropriate scholarly sources for investigating different kinds of research questions. Use research results to improve your questions.
5. Synthesis and communication: Present research findings in a variety of professional formats that offer audiences a clear understanding of the complexity of the topic, and recognize how writing is integral to the research process.
6. Professional Ethics: Practice history with integrity based on the American Historical Association Standards of Professional Conduct:
   http://www.historians.org/pubs/Free/ProfessionalStandards.cfm
7. Critical Thinking: Use peer review, instructor feedback, self-analysis, and research findings to effectively revise research design and written communication.
8. Collaboration: Work effectively in collaborative teams for peer review to improve research design and communication of research findings at different stages in the research process.

**See http://www.ncptsc.org/Standards.htm for an overview of the Professional Teaching Standards for the State of North Carolina. See http://soe.unc.edu/academics/requirements/standards2010/NCDPI_2009_Social_Studies_Teacher_Candidate_Standards_High_School.pdf for the disciplinary content standards for social studies teacher candidates.**

Evaluation and Grading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily Preparation and Class Participation</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Lab Exercises</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCG archive project</td>
<td>50% [draft is worth 10% of the archive project grade]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lab Exercises (40%; SLO 1-5)**
These exercises in real world research problems will focus on evaluating and applying different kinds of resources in the historical research process. The format of these written assignments will vary but in general all assignments must be typed and, when citations are required, historians use Turabian or Chicago Manual of Style (http://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/tools_citationguide.html). These exercises will build the skills you need to complete the UNCG archive case study at the end of the semester. See the course schedule for specific due dates. Specific instructions for the research exercises will be provided in class and posted to Bb as the semester unfolds.

**Rewrite Option:** You may rewrite one of the graded lab exercises from Unit 1 or Unit 2 to improve your communication skills and (possibly) your grade on the original assignment. You must show proof that
You have visited the Writing Center to work on the graded draft. You must submit the original graded assignment with your rewrite. To receive consideration for grade improvement the revision must be thorough and substantive. Cosmetic changes in word choice, for example, will not be considered for review. The revised assignment grade will replace the grade on the original assignment. The deadline for your revised assignment (including all required documentation) is 5:00 p.m. on Friday, October 7. No revised assignments will be accepted for review after that deadline. No extensions, no exceptions.

**UNCG University Archive Project (50%; SLO 1-7)**

You will use a variety of archival materials in the UNCG University Archives to write a biography of a student who attended UNCG at the turn of the twentieth century; about 7-10 pages with citations and bibliography. The results of your research will be available for other researchers in University Archives. The final piece of written work will be evaluated on overall excellence—including the mechanics of standard written English, complexity of analysis, use of evidence, and focus of research bibliography. The project draft due on November 1 is worth 10% of the overall project grade.

**Participation (10%; SLO 1-7)**

This element of the final grade will be assessed based on the following criteria:

**GRADING RUBRIC FOR DAILY PORTION OF GRADE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADE</th>
<th>CRITERIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A     | Student attends every class session and arrives promptly;  
       | Student participates frequently in most class sessions and makes relevant contributions to discussions;  
       | Student is always well prepared for class;  
       | Student actively listens when others talk and “builds off” the ideas of others;  
       | Student always shows respect for classmates and instructors;  
       | Student always meets deadlines for assignments. |
| B     | Student misses no more than one class session and is almost never late;  
       | Student regularly participates in most class sessions and makes relevant contributions to discussions;  
       | Student is prepared for class;  
       | Student actively listens when others talk;  
       | Student shows respect for classmates and instructors;  
       | Student always meets deadlines for assignments. |
| C     | Student misses no more than two class sessions and is seldom late;  
       | Student occasionally participates in class sessions and makes some relevant contributions to the discussion;  
       | Student is usually prepared for class;  
       | Student listens when others talk;  
       | Student shows respect for classmates and instructors;  
       | Student turns in no more than two late assignments. |
| D     | Student misses more than two class sessions or frequently arrives late;  
       | Student seldom participates in class sessions and rarely makes relevant contributions to the discussion;  
       | Student is seldom prepared for class;  
       | Student rarely listens when others talk;  
       | Student turns in more than two late assignments. |
| F     | Student misses more than three class sessions or rarely arrives on time;  
       | Student almost never participates in class sessions and rarely makes relevant contributions;  
       |  

| F | Student is rarely prepared for class;  
    | Student almost never listens when others talk;  
    | Student regularly misses deadlines. |

A note on gauging your mid-semester grade status: I will submit unsatisfactory reports after the first six weeks of the semester based on attendance and performance on lab exercises for students who are at risk of making a grade in the course below the Teacher's Academy threshold of 2.75.

Course Policies

**Academic Integrity**
UNCG considers academic dishonesty to be a serious offense. Dishonest behavior in any form, including cheating, plagiarism, deception of effort, and unauthorized assistance, may result in such sanctions as a failing grade on an assignment or failure in the course depending on the nature of the offense. Students must follow the guidelines of the University Policy on Academic Integrity:  
[http://academicintegrity.uncg.edu/complete/](http://academicintegrity.uncg.edu/complete/)

**Electronic Communication**
Students are responsible for checking Blackboard and UNCG email on a regular basis. Students are encouraged to utilize email and other forms of digital communication when interacting with the instructor (lctolber@uncg.edu). When using email, students are to be professional and courteous. Students should also remember email is an asynchronous form of communication. Thus, while a prompt response may be desired, it may not always be possible (especially late at night and on weekends). Students should allow at least 24 hours (48 hours on weekends) for a response. That said, the instructor answers emails in the timeliest fashion possible.

**Late Assignment Penalty**
Meeting deadlines is an essential element of professional behavior. Please note that *unless arrangements have been made well in advance of due dates*, assignments will be penalized by a 3% reduction in the final assignment grade for every day the assignment is late. Assignments later than one week will not be accepted for credit without an extremely impressive explanation. Using effective quantitative reasoning, your grade average can recover from an F on an individual assignment more successfully than it can recover from a “0”. It is better to turn in an incomplete assignment on time than to turn in nothing at all. See the participation section for additional information about penalties for turning in late assignments.

**Required Texts/Readings/References**

American Historical Association Standards of Professional Conduct:  
[http://www.historians.org/pubs/Free/ProfessionalStandards.cfm](http://www.historians.org/pubs/Free/ProfessionalStandards.cfm)

Johnson, Michael P. Denmark Vesey and His Co-Conspirators. *William and Mary Quarterly* 58, no. 4 (October 2001): 913-976.


Abraham Lincoln biographies:
- American National Biography [use Library database for free access]


### Course Schedule

23 August  
**Course Overview and Introductions**

**Unit 1: The Art of Historical Detection and the Fundamentals of Historical Research**

- Introduction to the research methodology and aims of professional historians
- Context and Authority: understanding the context of documents—who, what, why, how, and when; questioning the creator’s motives, biases, and reliability as a source.
- Extracting Information and Evidence from the records: understanding differences in information and evidence; inferring evidence and drawing conclusions; defining historical significance.
30 August    Finding Women’s Lives: Case Studies from the Perspective of Historians and Archivists

Read:

Read the interview with historian Laurel Thatcher Ulrich about her research on Martha Ballard:

Presnell, 1. Historians and the research process: getting started

American Historical Association Standards of Professional Conduct:

Lab Exercise 1 due: According to Presnell, “History is not merely a collection of facts and dates but, rather, the unfolding and telling of a story from the past, which always involves a degree of judgment and interpretation.” (p. 4) Consider how this idea relates to the challenges of researching women’s lives by evaluating the research process of three of the following historians: Nan Enstad, Debra Schultz, Claudia Koontz, Pamela Dean, Katherine Ott, Trudi Abel, Glenda Gilmore, Laurel Thatcher Ulrich. What role do archivists and conservators play in this process? (see especially *Invisible Process*, pp. 1-8 and 49-57) A strong response will go beyond summary and description to evaluate different aspects of the historical research process illuminated by your selected scholars. What concerns, if any, are raised by the approaches these scholars took to the research process? (200 words minimum).

6 September   Wikipedia and Beyond: The Value and Limits of Reference Resources

Read:
Presnell, 2. Reference resources

Rosenzweig, Roy. "Can History be Open Source? Wikipedia and the Future of the Past." http://chnm.gmu.edu/essays-on-history-new-media/essays/?essayid=42. This article was originally published in *The Journal of American History* Volume 93, Number 1 (June, 2006): 117-46 and is reprinted by the Center for History and New Media with permission.

Lab Exercise 2 due: compare the biographies of Abraham Lincoln in *Wikipedia* and *American National Biography*. Evaluate relative authority of these sources by explaining which Lincoln biography is more reliable and why. Why do scholars generally not cite reference sources in their scholarly publications? [Note that *American National Biography* is available online but you must use the Library subscription for free access. Search the title *American National Biography* in the Library catalog and use your UNCG username and password for access to reference source.] 200 word minimum; 1 page maximum; single-spaced. Writing counts. Your work will be based on clarity of prose, paragraph development, use of specific examples from the reading; explanation of your reasoning.

13 September No Class

Lab Exercise 3 due: post to Bb by 6:00 p.m. on 13 September. Go to the “Doing History” section (http://dohistory.org/DHindex.html) of the website dohistory.org. Choose either the case of “Martha Ballard and
a ‘Man-Midwife’ or the case of “One Rape-Two Stories” [http://dohistory.org/DHindex.html](http://dohistory.org/DHindex.html). Read your selected case study carefully and answer the following questions using specific examples from the case study.

- Describe at least two other primary sources Ulrich used to understand the diary and explain why these sources were useful for her research process. [1 paragraph] Note that if you are not sure what a primary source is see Presnell, Chapter 6 for examples.

- Next identify two different secondary sources Ulrich used and explain how these sources were useful for contextualizing Ballard’s diary. [1 paragraph] Note that if you are not sure what a secondary source is see Presnell, Chapter 3 and 4 for examples.

20 September Text, Context, and Subtext: The Nature of Historical Evidence

**Read:**

Presnell, 6. The thrill of discovery: primary sources


**Lab Exercise 4** due: How do historians read texts as experts? Use some examples from [www.dohistory.org](http://www.dohistory.org) to explain how Ulrich used text, context, and subtext to interpret Martha Ballard’s diary? [200 word minimum; 1-page maximum]

---

**Unit 2: Locating, Deciphering, and Evaluating Relevant Resources**

- Understanding Manuscripts and Archives: organization and description of manuscripts and archival records; roles of curators, archivists, conservators—collecting, preserving, arranging, describing, and instructing; archival repositories—missions, policies, and procedures; institutional records, private papers, and public records.

- Delineating the project’s scope; defining topic; and outlining sources needed for project

- Search strategies: listing terms, continuous refinement of topic and search strategies, using online catalogs and search engines.

- Using finding aids: navigating the description and arrangement of a collection.
27 September University Archives Project: Introduction to Using University Archives
Meet at Special Collections, Hodges Reading Room, in Jackson Library. Workshop lead by archivists Kathelene McCarty Smith and Hermann J. Trojanowski.
Read:
American National Biography entry on Martha Ballard by Laurel Ulrich

4 October Locating and Evaluating Sources
Read:
Presnell, 3. Finding monographs and using catalogs
Presnell, 4. Finding journals, magazines, and newspapers: using indexes
Presnell, 5. Evaluating your sources
Lab Exercise 5 due: Bibliography assignment

11 October FALL BREAK

Unit 3: Deciphering
- Handwriting: challenges of reading 19th century longhand
- Listening: effective use of oral history and other sound recordings; understanding the significant insights gained from hearing rather than reading, including the subtleties of intonation, pitch, volume, pauses, etc.
- Language: changes in meaning of words and phrases; slang, resources for deciphering cryptic language.
- Visual sources: analyzing photographs; recognizing perspective—what images do and do not reveal
- Digitized primary sources: advantages and disadvantages of armchair research.

18 October Digitized Primary Sources
Read:
Presnell, 7. History and the Internet
Lab Exercise 6 due: Compare the organization and resources of at least two different digitized collections mentioned in one of the Schrum articles. Choose either world history sites to compare with each other or U.S. history sites to compare with each other.

25 October Visual and Oral Sources
Read:
Presnell, 8. Maps : from simple to geographic information systems; 9. Beyond the written word: finding, evaluating, and using images, motion pictures, and audio
Library of Congress. “Does the Camera Ever Lie?” Read both case studies: The Case of Confused Identity
and The Case of the Moved Body:
http://memory.loc.gov/ammem/cwphtml/cwpcam/cwcam1.html

Library of Congress. “Voices from the Days of Slavery” Collection
http://memory.loc.gov/ammem/collections/voices/index.html

**Lab Exercise 7 due:** Either Photo analysis OR Oral history analysis

---

**Unit 4: Using Primary Sources in Writing**

- Critical Evaluation of Sources: individual and collective analysis of records and the development of a thesis.
- Synthesis: drawing of ideas, information and evidence around a thesis
- Effective Quoting and Paraphrasing
- Citation: Efficient methods of tracking sources during research; proper methods of citation; scholarly and ethical responsibilities, avoiding plagiarism.
- Beyond reporting findings: writing as integral to discovery and the research process in history

---

1 November  Thesis workshop: Peer Review/Bio draft due

**Read:**
Presnell, 10. Presenting your research: traditional research paper, Powerpoint, or website?

7 November  Revised bio due. Post to Bb by 8:00 a.m.

8 November  Citation Workshop/Getting it Right: The Importance of Accuracy

**Read:**
Johnson, Michael P. Denmark Vesey and His Co-Conspirators. *William and Mary Quarterly* 58, no. 4 (October 2001): 913-976.

15 November  What Really Happened?: Use of Evidence Workshop

**Read:**

22 November  Putting It All Together: The Challenges, Possibilities, and Limits of Historical Research

Peer Review Workshop/Bring bio draft to class

29 November  UNCG Archives Project due.