HISTORY 705: COLLOQUIUM IN EUROPEAN HISTORY BEFORE 1800

Course Information:

History 705-01, Fall 2005 (CRN:80688)

Time: Thursdays, 6:30-9:20 PM

Room: 226 McIver

mailto:rebarton@uncg.edu

Instructor Information:

Dr. Richard Barton Office: 212 McIver Office phone: 334-5203

Home phone: 274-8318, before 9 PM

Email: rebarton@uncg.edu

Website: http://www.uncg.edu/~rebarton

Office Hours: TBA

Description:

This course comprises the first half of the Graduate Colloquium in European History. Our imagined task is a huge, even impossible one: we are tasked with trying to make sense of the methods, techniques, and approaches used by historians who study Europe from Rome to the French Revolution. Obviously we cannot do justice to every period and/or every topic, and our approach must inevitably be somewhat fragmentary. Rather than follow a haphazard and incomplete chronology through this vast span of time, I have organized the course methodologically. In essence we are going to examine some of those methods, techniques, and approaches rather than a series of events, periods, or persons. We will accomplish this task, of course, by reading and evaluating sample works of historians who work in that given style, method, or approach. Peter Burke's edited volume, *New Perspectives on Historical Writing*, will provide a quasi-textbook or roadmap for our endeavor, as it comprises specially-commissioned chapters on many of the approaches and sub-disciplines that we will examine. Please note that I have tried to balance the temporal focus of the works we will read: my design is that about half of our readings will come from the medieval period and half from the early modern period.

Given these goals, it is important to remember that you will be asked in this course to evaluate, analyze, and criticize the arguments, methods, and structures of important works of history. Such a task requires that you read somewhat differently from the ways in which you might approach a research paper or a simple factual assignment. You must be concerned first and foremost with identifying the author's stated (or unstated) purpose and/or agenda in writing. Close behind this will fall the argument of the author's work. One of our tasks will be to evaluate the success of this argument, so it is worth getting used to the process of reading analytically; don't get bogged down in the minutiae of the details offered by each author, for we are really unconcerned with the specifics. Rather, pay close attention to the argument, the evidence offered to support that argument, and the assumptions around which the argument (and the choice of evidence) is based. In a word, you will be learning to "gut" or "fillet" a book; it sounds inelegant, and it is, but it is a very valuable skill. It involves reading rapidly (but carefully) a large number of pages, skimming the details but keeping your eyes open for the argument, holes in reasoning, blatant (or not-so-blatant) assumptions, and so on.

Required Books (available for purchase at the UNCG Bookstore or on amazon.com):

Peter Burke, *The French Historical Revolution* (Stanford UP, 1990) ISBN: 0804718377

Peter Burke, ed., *New Perspectives on Historical Writing*, 2nd edition (Pennsylvania State Univ. Press, 2001)

ISBN: 0271021179

Natalie Zemon Davis, *The Return of Martin Guerre* (Harvard UP, 1984) ISBN: 0674766911 Martha Howell and Walter Prevenier, *From Reliable Sources: an Introduction to Historical Methods* (Ithaca, 2001). ISBN: 0801485606

Other Required Readings:

The rest of the readings will be on reserve in Jackson Library (you may access some of them electronically, through Blackboard, but most will be found at the Circulation Desk) or available electronically through Journal Finder (many readings come from journals which Jackson Library now takes in electronic format; one of the most common of these electronic forms is J-Stor). On any given week we may be reading articles, sections of books, and/or entire books. Please consult the syllabus below for that information. Knowing that some students will prefer to purchase their own copies of the other books of which we will read large chunks, I list those here that I know to be available for purchase at Amazon.com. Please note that you need not buy any of these books! They WILL be available in the reserve room, where you can either read them there or photocopy the parts you require. I merely wish to notify you concerning those that I know to be in print.

Michael Camille, *Image on the Edge: the Margins of Medieval Art* (reprint, Harvard, 1993): ISBN: 0674443624

Gabrielle Spiegel, Romancing the Past: the Rise of Vernacular Prose Historiography in
Thirteenth-Century France (Univ. of California Press, reprint 1995): ISBN: 0520089359
Robert Darnton, The Great Cat Massacre (Vintage, 1985). ISBN: 0394729277
Lynn Hunt, The Family Romance of the French Revolution (Berkeley, 1993) ISBN: 0520082702

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

1. Oral Presentations:

Each week I would like one of you to open our discussion with a brief discussion of the author(s) assigned for that week. You should try to get a handle on what kind of historian the author is (marxist? Annaliste? Narrative? Political? Social? etc.) and/or what 'school' he or she belongs to. You needn't give a biography of the author(s), although some relevant details might be useful. Rather, you should give a 5 minute synopsis of whatever information about the author(s) is relevant to understanding their work. Where should you find this? Often the readings will provide some indirect clues, but you should also do a bit of bibliography work (either on-line or in the library) and perhaps a bit of web-searching (if the author is alive, he/she may have a web-page, a university affiliation, etc). You have two tasks during class: 1) to present what you've found succinctly and clearly, and in an informal way (that is, please don't read a prepared text or slavishly consult your notes); 2) prepare a one-page handout for the class listing the author(s) by name and providing whatever pertinent biographical, methodological and bibliographical information that you deem relevant (in this last category, you might well give bibliography of up to 5 important publications by each author).

2. Written Work:

One of the major goals of this class is to gain experience writing critically about history. And since it is a graduate class, I will expect you to do a fair amount of writing. Although I will ask you to write two kinds of essay for me, I am going to allow you substantial leeway in how you decide to structure your written work. What this really means is that I am going to give you responsibility for choosing your own schedule, within certain guidelines. All students must complete the following written work

- a. Six Analytical Essays, typed, 3-4 pages each
- b. One Historiographical Essay, 8-12 pages

GRADE BREAKDOWN:

Oral Presentations: 10% Six Analytical Essays 60% Historiographical Essay 30%

EXPLANATION OF WRITING ASSIGNMENTS

a. Analytical Essays

You will write six of these essays over the course of the semester. They should be 3-4 pages in length, typed, with standard margins, etc. Four of the six have been fixed by me; that is, you must write an essay based on the readings in Weeks 3, 4 or 5, 8, and 14. It is up to you to choose when (and on what material) you wish to write the other four. Analytical Essays are due the week following the readings with which they are concerned.

These essays will be reactions to questions I have posed to you concerning a particular set of readings. I expect you to formulate a clear, well-supported argument that answers my question one way or another. Remember to be concise. State your argument in a brief opening paragraph, and then proceed to introduce evidence and commentary that supports your position. I should hasten to say that the evidence for whatever argument you make should derive primarily for the readings assigned for that week.

Required Analytical Essays:

Week 3: Are the articles by Findlen and McLaren examples of the New History or the Old? Why or why not? (due in week 4)

Either Week 4 or Week 5 (regardless of your choice, it is due in week 6)

Week 4: Evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the *Annales* school

Week 8: Choose one article and examine how well it follows the program laid forth by Scott's article in *New Perspectives*. (due in Week 9)

Week 14: choose one of the following: (due in week 16; that is, after Thanksgiving)

- 1. Compare the theory and practice of 'post-structuralist' history. That is, how does the writing of 'actual' history live up to post-structuralist theory?
- 2. Himmelfarb writes angrily of a 'flight from fact' in 'post-modernist' historical writing. Putting aside her straw-man examples, is this a fair account of Spiegel's work? Why or why not?

Possible Analytical Essays (choose 2 of the following):

Week 6: How has Clifford Geertz influenced the theory and writing of history? (due in week 7)

Week 7: Is *Montaillou* a successful work of history? Why or why not? (due in week 8)

Week 9: Why should we care about Martin Guerre? Or, perhaps, why should we care if Davis 'got it right'? (due in week 10)

Week 10: In what ways do historians of literacy and reading force us to reinterpret the nature, value, and use of written sources? (due in week 11)

Week 11: Is there a difference between the history of images and Art History? Should there be? Where do Camille and Scribner fit in? (due in week 12)

Week 13: What does it mean to argue that the 'history of the body' is essentially an act of cultural construction? Use examples from the readings. (due in week 14)

Week 15: Should 'narrative' and 'events-driven history' be revived? If so, why and how? If not, why not? (due in finals week)

b. Historiographical Essay (due Monday, December 12, by Noon)

This is to be an 8-12 page examination of a historical topic, issue, or event of interest to you. As a minimum, I expect you to consult 3 books and 3 articles relevant to the subject of your paper. I will be happy to suggest beginning bibliography to anyone who needs advice.

I am concerned to see you do several things: a) propose, develop, and support an argument; b) recognize and evaluate differing and potentially competing historical arguments (ie., wrestle with 'historiography'); c) discuss and interpret relative methodological approaches to the subject at hand. This paper SHOULD NOT BE a 'standard' historical treatment of the subject. That is, if you chose to examine the crusades, I don't want to read about when and why the crusades began, what took place during them, etc; what I want to read about is your evaluation of the methodologies that historians have used to examine the crusades, as well as your assessment of the relative merits of several historians' opinions (ie., historiography).

You have three options in choosing the topic for your essay

I. You may elect a specific topic. Examples might include the following:

the Fall of the Roman Empire

'feudalism'

The Norman Conquest

the crusades (or, simply, The First Crusade)

Inquisition and/or Heresy
Civic Ritual and Identity
Literacy and Literate Culture
Violence
Absolutism or Constitutionalism
The Role of the State (in whichever period)
Religion and Politics (in whichever period)
The French Revolution
the German Reformation
the Wars of Religion
etc., etc., etc.

ii. You could write an essay about a particular historian. For instance, if you enjoyed

Le Goff's articles, you might elect to write a historiography essay on Le Goff. I would certainly not expect you to read all of Le Goff's work, but I would expect you to compose a bibliography of his work, to see what his intellectual and academic interests were, to learn a little about him as a person (there's an excellent collection of essays on Le Goff's impact), etc. Your challenge here would be to come up with an analytical category within which to discuss and criticize Le Goff's work as a historian. Here, too, you must learn to 'gut' books; skim for approach, method, and sources.

iii. You could choose a more general methodology or approach to the study of history. Here this could be narrowed by period (ie., gender in the scholarship of the Middle Ages; or even gender in the scholarship of the Later Middle Ages.). Some possibilities include:

gender
Marxism
Social History
the Annales paradigm
mentalities
quantitative history
military history
periodization issues, such as "the Middle Ages" vs. 'the Renaissance"
Popular Culture vs. High Culture (in whichever period you choose)
The New Cultural History
structuralism
post-structuralism
'Thick description'
Gift-giving

SCHEDULE OF CLASSES AND READINGS:

- 1. August 18: Introduction to the Course
- 2. August 25: Historians and Methodology
 - Martha Howell and Walter Prevenier, From Reliable Sources: an Introduction to Historical Methods, entire (150 pp)
- J-Stor: Natalie Z. Davis, "History's Two Bodies," *American Historical Review* 93 (1988):

1-30.

- Reserve Room: G.R. Elton, "King or Minister? The Man Behind the Henrician Revolution," *History* 39 (1954), 216-232.
- Reserve Room or Handout: Jacques Le Goff, "Ecclesiastical Culture and Folklore in the Middle Ages: Saint Marcellus of Paris and the Dragon," in Le Goff, *Time, Work and Culture in the Middle Ages* (Chicago, 1982), 159-188.
- 3. September 1: the New History and the Old
 - Reserve Room or Handout: G.R. Elton, *Political History: Principles and Practice* (New York, 1970), 3-11, 57-72, 156-180.
- Burke, "Overture. The New History: its Past and Future," in Burke, *New Perspectives*, pp.

1-24.

- J-Stor: Gertrude Himmelfarb, "Some Reflections on the New History," *American Historical Review* 94 (1989): 661-670
- J-Stor Joan W. Scott, "History in Crisis? The Others' Side of the Story," *American Historical Review* 94 (1989): 680-692.
- J-Stor: John E. Toews, "Perspectives on 'The Old History and the New': a Comment," *American Historical Review* 94 (1989): 693-698.
- J-Stor: Paula Findlen, "Possessing the Past: the Material World of the Italian Renaissance," *American Historical Review* 103 (1998): 83-114.
- J-Stor: Anne McLaren, "Gender, Religion and Early Modern Nationalism: Elizabeth I, Mary Queen of Scots and the Genesis of English Anti-Catholicism," *American historical Review* 107 (2002): 739-767
- **Required Analytical Essay**: Are the articles by Findlen and McLaren examples of the New History or the Old? Why or why not? (due in week 4)
- 4. September 8: The Annales 'School'
 - Burke, The French Historical Revolution: the Annales School, 1929-1989, 1-116
 - Reserve Room: Fernand Braudel on the *longue durée*, in Braudel, *On History*, pp. 25-54
 - Reserve Room: Arnoud-Jan Bijsterveld, "The Medieval Gift as Agent of Bonding and Political Power: A Comparative Approach," in Esther Cohen and M.B. de Jong, eds., *Medieval Transformations: Texts, Power and Gifts in Context* (Leiden, 2001), 123-156
 - Reserve Room: Timothy Reuter, "Gifts and Simony," in Esther Cohen and M.B. de Jong,

- eds., Medieval Transformations: Texts, Power and Gifts in Context (Leiden, 2001), 157-168
- Reserve Room: Stephen D. White, "The Politics of Exchange: Gifts, Fiefs and Feudalism," in Esther Cohen and M.B. de Jong, eds., *Medieval Transformations: Texts, Power and Gifts in Context* (Leiden, 2001), 169-188.
- **Possible Analytical Essay**: Should the studies of medieval gift-giving be considered part of, influenced by, or distinct from the *Annales* paradigm of history? Explain your answer. (Due in week 5)
- 5. September 15: History of Mentalities
 - Reserve Room: Jacques Le Goff, "Mentalities: a History of Ambiguities," tr. David Denby, in Le Goff and Nora, eds., *Constructing the Past* (Cambridge, 1984), 166-180.
 - Reserve Room: Michael Gismondi, "The Gift of Theory': A Critique of the *histoire des mentalités*," *Social History* 10 (1985): 211-230
 - Journal Finder: Peter Burke, "Strengths and Weaknesses of the History of Mentalities," *History of European Ideas* 7 (1986): 439-451 [a revised version exists in Burke, *Varieties of Cultural History* (Ithaca, 1997), 162-182].
 - Handout: Susan Reynolds, "Social Mentalities and the Case of Medieval Scepticism," Transactions of the Royal Historical Society, 6th series, 1 (1991), 21-41.
 - Reserve Room: Lucien Febvre, *The Problem of Unbelief in the Sixteenth Century* (1942; Eng. trans. Cambridge, Mass., 1983), pp. TBA
 - **Possible Analytical Essay**: Is the study of mentalities possible? If so, is it desirable? Why or why not? Use the assigned readings for your examples.
- 6. September 22: Cultural Anthropology and its impact
 - J-Stor: Sherri Ortner, "Theory in Anthropology since the Sixties," *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 26 (1984): 126-166.
 - Reserve Room: Clifford Geertz, "Thick Description: Toward an Interpretative Theory of Culture," in Geertz, *The Interpretation of Cultures*. New York, Basic Books, 3-30
 - Reserve Room: Clifford Geertz, "Deep Play: Notes on the Balinese Cockfight," Daedalus 101 (1972): 1-37, reprinted in Geertz, Interpretation of Cultures (NY: Basic Books), 412-454.
 - Reserve Room: Robert Darnton, *The Great Cat Massacre*, chapters 1-3, pp. 3-144
 - **Possible Analytical Essay**: How has Clifford Geertz influenced the theory and writing of history?
- 7. September 29: History from Below

Jim Sharpe, "History from Below," in Burke, *New Perspectives*, 25-42. Emmanuel Le Roy Ladurie, *Montaillou: Promised Land of Error* (Vintage, 1979), Entire. Reserve Room: Leonard E. Boyle, "Montaillou Revisited: *Mentalité* and Methodology," **Possible Analytical Essay:** Is *Montaillou* a successful work of history? Why or why not?

8. October 6: Women's History, History of Gender

Joan Scott, "Women's History," in Burke, New Perspectives, 42-66

Reserve Room: Joan Kelly, "Did women have a Renaissance?," in Renata Bridenthal and Claudia Koonz, eds., *Becoming Visible: Women in European History* (Houghton Mifflin, 1977 and 1987), 137-164.

Reserve Room: Retha Warnicke, "Private and Public: the boundaries of Women's Lives in Early Stuart England," in Jean R. Brink, ed., *Privileging Gender in Early Modern England* (Kirksville, MO: Sixteenth Century Journal Publishers, 1993), 123-140.

Reserve Room: Carole Levin, "Power, Politics and Sexuality: Images of Elizabeth I," in Brink, ed., *Privileging Gender*, 95-110.

J-Stor: Sarah Hanley, "Engendering the State: Family Formation and State Building in Early Modern France," *French Historical Studies* 16 (1989): 4-27.

Reserve Room: Lyndal Roper, "Blood and Codpieces: Masculinity in the Early Modern German Town," in Roper, *Oedipus and the Devil: Witchcraft, Sexuality and Religion in Early Modern Europe* (Routledge, 1994), 107-124.

Highly Recommended: Joan Scott, "Gender: A Useful Category of Historical Analysis?," in *American Historical Review* 91 (1986): 1053-1075, revised in Scott, *Gender and the Politics of History* (Columbia UP, 1988), chapter 2

Required Analytical Essay: Choose one article and examine how well it follows the program laid forth by Scott's article in *New Perspectives*.

9. October 13: Microhistory

Giovanni Levi, "On Microhistory," in Burke, New Perspectives, 93-113

Natalie Zemon Davis, The Return of Martin Guerre, entire

J-Stor: Robert Finlay, "The Refashioning of Martin Guerre," *American Historical Review* 93 (1988), 553-571.

J-Stor: Natalie Zemon Davis, "On the Lame," *American Historical Review* 93 (1988), 572-603.

Film: Return of Martin Guerre [To be shown in Class]

Possible Analytical Essay: Why should we care about Martin Guerre? Or, perhaps, why should we care if Davis 'got it right'?

10. October 20: Reading, Writing and Literacy

Reserve Room: Claude Levi-Strauss, "A Writing Lesson", from *Tristes Tropiques* (Penguin, 1973), 294-305

Robert Darnton, "History of Reading," in Burke, New Perspectives, 140-167.

J-Stor: Franz Bäuml, "Varieties and Consequences of Medieval Literacy and Illiteracy," *Speculum* 55 (1980), 237-265.

- Journal-Finder: Paul Saenger, "From Oral Reading to Silent Reading," *Viator* 13 (1982): 367-414.
- Reserve Room: Margaret Spufford, "First Steps in Literacy: the Reading and Writing Experiences of the Humblest Seventeenth-Century Autobiographers," *Social History* 4 (1979), 407-435.
- Reserve Room: Guglielmo Cavallo and Roger Chartier, eds., *A History of Reading in the West* (Amherst, 1999). See Chap 5 (Saenger: Reading in the Later MA), Chap 7 (Grafton: The Humanist as Reader), Chap 8 (Gilmont: Prot Reformations and reading), Chap 9 (Julia: Reading and the Counter Ref), Chap 10 (Chartier: Reading Matter and 'Popular' Reading)
- **Possible Analytical Essay**: In what ways do historians of literacy and reading force us to reinterpret the nature, value, and use of written sources?
- 11. October 27: The History of Images
 - Ivan Gaskell, "History of Images," in Burke, New Perspectives, 168-192
 - Reserve Room: Michael Camille, *Image on the Edge: the Margins of Medieval Art* (reprint, Harvard, 1993), entire
 - J-Stor: R.W. Scribner, "The Incombustible Luther: the Image of the Reformer in Early Modern Germany," *Past and Present* 110 (1986), 38-68.
 - **Analytical Essay**: Is there a difference between the history of images and Art History? Should there be? Where do Camille and Scribner fit in?
- 12. November 3: No Class: Instructor at Conference
 - **Statement of Intent for Final Essay due in my mailbox** (1 paragraph, plus 1-2 citations to articles or sections of books).
- 13. November 10: History of the Body
 - Roy Porter, "History of the Body," in Burke, New Perspectives, 206-232
 - J-Stor: Caroline Walker Bynum, "Fast, Feast and Flesh: the Religious Significance of Food to Medieval Women," *Representations* 11 (1985), 1-25.
 - Reserve Room: Katharine Park, "Was there a Renaissance Body?," in Allen Grieco, Michael Rocke and Fiorella Gioffredi Superbi, eds., *The Italian Renaissance in the Twentieth Century: Acts of an International Conference, Florence, Villa I Tatti, June 9-11 1999* (Florence 2002), 321-336
 - Reserve Room: Caroline Bynum, "Why all the Fuss about the Body? A Medievalist's Perspective," in Victoria Bonnell and Lynn Hunt, eds., *Beyond the Cultural Turn* (Berkeley, 1999), 241-280
 - Handout: Craig Koslofsky, "Suicide and the Secularization of the Body in Early Modern Saxony," *Continuity and Change* 16 (2001): 45-70.
 - Reserve Room: Vanessa Harding, "Whose Body? A study of Attitudes Towards the Dead Body in Early Modern Paris," in Bruce Gordon and Peter Marshall, eds., *The Place of the Dead: Death and Remembrance in Late Medieval and Early Modern Europe* (Cambridge, 2000), 170-187

- J-Stor: Mark S.R. Jenner, "The Roasting of the Rump: Scatology and the Body Politic in Restoration England," *Past and Present* 177 (2002): 84-120
- **Possible Analytical Essay**: What does it mean to argue that the 'history of the body' is essentially an act of cultural construction? Use examples from the readings.
- 14. November 17: Texts, Language, and Construction
 - J-Stor: Gabrielle Spiegel, "History, Historicism, and the Social Logic of the Text in the Middle Ages," *Speculum* 65 (1990), pp. 59-86.
 - Reserve Room: Gabrielle Spiegel, *Romancing the Past: the Rise of Vernacular Prose Historiography in Thirteenth-Century France* (Univ. of California Press, reprint 1995), selected chapters
 - Reserve Room: Gertrude Himmelfarb, "Telling It as you Like it: Post-Modernist History and the Flight from Fact," *Times Literary Supplement*, October 16, 1992, 12-15. Also available as Gertrude Himmelfarb, "Telling It as You Like It: History and the Flight from Fact," in *The Postmodern History Reader*, ed. Keith Jenkins (New York: Routledge, 1997), 158-74.

Required Analytical Essay: Choose one of the following:

- 1. Compare the theory and practice of 'post-structuralist' history. That is, how does the writing of 'actual' history live up to post-structuralist theory?
- 2. Himmelfarb writes angrily of a 'flight from fact' in 'post-modernist' historical writing. Putting aside her straw-man examples, is this a fair account of Spiegel's work? Why or why not?
- 15. November 24: NO CLASS (Thanksgiving)
- 16. December 1: Revival of Narrative and Events
 - Peter Burke, "History of Events and the Revival of Narrative," in Burke, *New Perspectives*, 233-248
 - Sarah Maza, "Stories in History: Cultural Narratives in Recent Works in European History," *American Historical Review* 101:4 (December 1996), 1493-1515.
 - Karen Halttunen, "Cultural History and the Challenge of Narrativity," in Victoria Bonnell and Lynn Hunt, eds., *Beyond the Cultural Turn* (Berkeley, 1999), 165-181
 - Lynn Hunt, *The Family Romance of the French Revolution* (Berkeley, 1993), 1-123, 193-204
 - **Possible Analytical Essay**: Should 'narrative' and 'events-driven history' be revived? If so, why and how? If not, why not? (Due in Finals week see me for date)

Monday, December 12 - Final Historiography papers due by noon in my office

OTHER COURSE INFORMATION

I. Use of Reference Materials

You may come across many terms, expressions, and topics with which you are unfamiliar. Don't just let them slide by; rather, use a dictionary and/or encyclopedia to identify whatever it is you are having trouble with. Some examples, which we may encounter in our readings: epistemology, hermeneutics, papacy, guilds, vassal, fief, chivalry, humanism, inquisition, heresy, dowry, philosophe, tithe, Holy Roman Empire, misogyny, primogeniture, relic, eucharist, asceticism, etc. The reference librarians in Jackson Library will be able to assist you in finding reference works.

II. Guidelines for Critical Reading and Writing

Learning how to read, analyze, and write about historical literature in a critical way is the main objective of this course. Keep the following in mind as you read and write about the books and articles this semester:

- a. Check the date and place of publication (don't be fooled by reprints or later editions). How are these important to an understanding of the book? Consider a book on medieval Germany written by an Englishman in 1943.
- b. Read the author's introduction or preface and/or acknowledgments. Whom else does he/she know, or with whom and with what types of historical writing does he/she choose to associate his/her work? To whom is he/she indebted? Whom does he/she consider as an opponent? Does the author state his/her purpose in writing the book? No author is an island, and very few are truly original; most authors are indebted either personally to someone else or methodologically to a school or approach.
- c. Pay careful attention to the author's use of sources. To ascertain this, you will need to be aware of his/her footnotes and/or bibliography, even if you do not read every single reference (indeed, you probably shouldn't read every reference). How does the selection and use of sources inform the author's historical interpretation? Does the author use a single source [a treatise, a chronicle, an inquest]? A single category of sources [parish records, letters, memoirs, legal sources, etc.]? Many different types of sources? Does he/she make use of literary sources? Statistical sources? Police records? Are all sources equally reliable? Would use of another kind of source altered his/her conclusions?
- d. Does the author make clear what is (are) his/her thesis (or theses) in the book or article? That is to say, can you discern if an argument is being made? Or, is the book pure narrative? [be careful!, for even narratives can have agendas and/or theses] If there is no apparent argument, is this a problem? If there is an argument, does it fit into some larger historiographical debate? Or, does it fit into or alongside some major historical or ideological theory [such as Marxism]?
- e. Does the author bring to his/her analysis a particular method or approach? In some weeks, you may well read works on the same subject from diametrically opposing methodological perspectives. While the tendency may be to believe that one is "right" and the other "wrong", we will find that it is more useful to simply try to uncover, analyze, and criticize the methods being used, and to express an opinion about which method seems to offer a better, or more

important, understanding of the topic in question.

- f. To what sort of audience is the book or article addressed? Other scholars? A general readership? Students? How do considerations of audience affect an author's selection and use of sources?
- g. Is the work in question a monograph, based primarily on original research? Or is it a synthesis that integrates new material with older ideas? Or some combination of the two?
- h. Can you think of other considerations? Let's discuss them.